commentators will discuss this and other initiatives.

Plenary sessions are open to the public. All participants with special needs and/or who will require assistance should contact Sharon D. Anderson or Lavette M. Miller. Further information on the meeting, including copies of proposed recomendations, may be obtained from the Office of the Chairman, Suite 500, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20037, telephone (202) 254–7020.

Dated: May 18, 1995.

### Jeffrey S. Lubbers,

Research Director.

[FR Doc. 95-12815 Filed 5-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6110-01-W

### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

## Forms Under Review by Office of Management and Budget

May 19, 1995.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) since the last list was published. This list is grouped into new proposals, revisions, extension, or reinstatements. Each entry contains the following information:

(1) Agency proposing the information collection; (2) title of the information collection; (3) Form number(s), if applicable; (4) Who will be required or asked to report; (5) An estimate of the number of responses; (65) An estimate of the total number of hours needed to provide the information; (7) Name and telephone number of the agency contact person.

Questions about the items in the listing should be directed to the agency person named at the end of each entry. Copies of the proposed forms and supporting documents may be obtained from: Department Clearance Officer, USDA, OIRM, Room 404–W Admin. Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20250, (202) 690–2118.

## **Emergency Collection**

 Office of Inspector General Commodity Origin Questionnaire for Agricultural Commodities
Business or other for-profit; 100 responses; 200 hours
Raymond G. Poland, (202) 720–2887

### Revision

 Rural Economic & Community Development
7 CFR 1980-D, Rural Housing Loans FmHA 1980–11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21; RECD 1980–80, 81, 86 Individuals or households Business or other for-profit; State, Local or Tribal government; 158–216 responses;

Jack Holston (202), 720-9736

77,645 hours

 Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

7 CFR 354 & 9 CFR 130 User Fees PPQ 250 & VS 16–7

Individuals or households; Business or other for-profit; Federal government; State, local or Tribal government; 246,103 responses; 9,349 hours Helen Schmitt, (301) 734–5901

 Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service Application Kit
CSREES—55, 661, 662 and 663
Individuals or households; Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit; Federal Government; State, Local or Tribal

Government; 5,200 responses; 23,600

Sondra Watkins, (202) 401-5050

#### Extension

 Food and Consumer Service
WIC Program Annual Closeout Report with Addendum
FCS-227 and FCS-227A
State, Local or Tribal government; 86

responses; 490 hours Joan Carroll, (703) 305–2716

• Food and Consumer Service WIC Local Agency Directory Report FCS-648

State, Local or Tribal Government; 86 responses; 64 hours

Joan Carroll, (703) 305–2716

• Foreign Agricultural Service List of Commodities by firm Available for Exporting

Business or other for-profit; Farms; 4500 responses; 1125 hours Jeffrey Hesse, (202) 690–3424.

## Larry K. Roberson,

Deputy Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 95–12882 Filed 5–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–01–M

# Food Safety and Inspection Service [Docket No. 95–015N]

## Guidelines for Preparing and Submitting Experimental Protocols for In-Plant Trials of New Technologies and Procedures

**AGENCY:** Food Safety Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food Safety and Inspection Service has issued Directive 10,700.1, establishing guidelines for

preparing and submitting experimental protocols for in-plant research or trials of new technologies and procedures in federally inspected meat and poultry plants. This notice summarizes Directive 10,700.1 and announces its availability to interested persons.

ADDRESSES: To obtain a copy of FSIS Directive 10,700.1, "Guidelines for Preparing and Submitting Experimental Protocols for In-Plant Trials of New Technologies and Procedures," contact Ms. Diane Moore, Docket Clerk, room 4352, South Agriculture Building, Food Safety and Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250, (202) 720–3813.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Pat Basu, Director, Technology Assessment and Research Coordination Division, Science and Technology, Food Safety and Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, room 302, Annex Building, 300 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20250, (202) 720–8623.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) periodically issues directives that either explain internal administrative policies and procedures or, as in the case of Directive 10,700.1, clarify FSIS regulations and procedures regarding meat and poultry product safety and inspection. While these directives are intended for FSIS inspectors and other employees, they are also regularly sent to other interested persons, including meat and poultry plant management, trade associations, and State and local governments. To ensure that all interested persons are aware of the substance and availability of this directive, FSIS is publishing this notice.

## **Directive 10,700.1**

As part of its comprehensive strategy to reduce the occurrence and numbers of pathogenic organisms in meat and poultry for the purpose of reducing the incidence of foodborne illness associated with consumption of those products, FSIS has proposed a series of new requirements applicable to all federally inspected meat and poultry plants ("Pathogen Reduction; Hazard **Analysis and Critical Control Points** (HACCP) Systems"; February 3, 1995, 60 FR 6774-6889). In order to meet the requirements proposed in that document, the meat and poultry industries may find it useful to develop innovative technologies and procedures that more effectively protect meat and poultry products from microbiological

and other hazards. FSIS is committed to fostering such innovation.

In the past few years, innovative technologies and procedures have been developed by the meat and poultry industry and allied enterprises to enhance industry productivity and profitability. FSIS believes that industry innovation should also be directed to improving food safety. FSIS intends as part of its comprehensive long-term food safety strategy to increase the incentives for such innovation by establishing public health-driven targets, guidelines, and standards that establishments will be held accountable for meeting. Also, FSIS is redoubling its efforts to facilitate experimentation in the meat and poultry industries.

Specifically, FSIS is encouraging inplant experimentation, which both aids in the development of new production and processing techniques and provides the requisite confirmation that new technologies and procedures are efficacious, practical, and manageable in commercial plant environments. FSIS has reviewed its policies and procedures governing review and approval of in-plant experimentation with the intention of simplifying them to the maximum extent possible, while ensuring that important safety and efficacy issues are considered. As a result, on April 11, 1995, FSIS issued Directive 10,700.1, "Guidelines for Preparing and Submitting Experimental Protocols for In-Plant Trials of New Technologies and Procedures.

Directive 10,700.1 explains that a written proposal and protocol must be submitted to FSIS, reviewed, and approved prior to any in-plant research or demonstration of technologies and procedures that could affect product safety, worker safety, environmental safety, or inspection procedures. The written proposal and protocol must contain a statement of purpose, a scientific literature review, including data from laboratory studies supporting further in-plant trials, a detailed description of the research methodology to be used, and other administrative information. Also, proposals for research on technologies or procedures that could alter inspection procedures, affect food safety, or are to be approved for general use must include a detailed study design and a commitment to submit final research results. Applicants must submit proposals and protocols at least 60 days before any experiments begin, so that FSIS may have adequate time to both review the proposal and notify, if necessary, the local FSIS inspection staff who would observe the approved experiment.

FSIS will not approve any proposal or protocol for in-plant experimentation that could result in an increased risk for the public and accordingly has placed certain restrictions on experiments involving the artificial contamination of food products. For example, in experiments where researchers artificially contaminate carcasses with fecal material that may contain human pathogens, any products from these carcasses must be removed from commercial channels or reconditioned to be wholesome and fit for sale. Also, in tests where researchers artificially contaminate carcasses with surrogate organisms that approximate the growth or spread of human pathogens, trimming of treated areas followed by an antimicrobial wash is required before product can be moved into commerce. Furthermore, while FSIS will not approve experiments that unreasonably interfere with our inspection responsibilities, requests for modest changes in inspection during an experiment will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

FSIŠ requires that certain proposal and protocol submissions include approvals from other agencies. If any chemical reagents or other such materials are to be used in an experiment, those materials must have been approved by Food and Drug Administration. Also, certain proposals for experiments that may affect worker safety must be accompanied by appropriate regulatory citations or by written approval from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and/or the Occupational Safety and Health Administration. And, some proposals for experiments that may impact environmental safety must be accompanied by approvals from EPA.

During approved in-plant experimentation, FSIS reserves the right to have on-site observers present and to review interim data. Should unexpected safety concerns arise at any time, for example, if food products affected by the experiment are in violation of food safety statutes or present an increased risk to the public, FSIS will require termination of the experiment. FSIS also reserves the right to have an approved proposal, as well as experimental results, reviewed by outside parties, as long as proprietary rights are safeguarded. Further, FSIS reserves the right to request the "raw" data initially collected from the experiment when evaluating the results of in-plant experiments.

FSIS has established a new unit, the Technology Assessment and Research Coordination Division (TARCD), which will function as the single point of entry for in-plant research protocols and experimental results. TARCD will perform the initial review of proposals for acceptability and completeness and then forward the proposals to teams within FSIS for technical review. TARCD also will be responsible for conveying results from FSIS technical reviews to the researchers requesting approval for in-plant experiments. TARCD will similarly coordinate the review of results and facilitate the policy decision process.

Proposals and protocols that are unapproved or in the approval process will be unavailable to the public. Approved proposals and protocols will be available and on file in the FSIS Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) reading room. FSIS will ensure FOIA protection for proprietary information contained in proposals and protocols available to the public.

Development and dissemination of these guidelines, as well as the establishment within FSIS of a single office for receiving proposed protocols for in-plant research, is intended to encourage the technological and procedural innovation necessary to enhance food safety within the meat and poultry industries.

Done at Washington, DC on May 19, 1995. **Michael R. Taylor,** 

Acting Under Secretary for Food Safety. [FR Doc. 95–12883 Filed 5–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–DM–P

### **Forest Service**

### **Notice of Intent**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Revision of notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

SUMMARY: On May 13, 1992, the Forest Service filed a notice of intent in the **Federal Register** to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) to analyze revision of management guidelines for the Desolation Wilderness on the Pacific and Placerville Ranger Districts of the Eldorado National Forest and the Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, El Dorado County, California. A subsequent notice was filed on May 2, 1994, because the draft EIS was delayed more than 6 months. This notice is being filed because the EIS has been delayed more than 6 months and because the responsible official has been changed.

ADDRESSES: John Phipps, Forest Supervisor, Eldorado National Forest, ATTN: Desolation Wilderness EIS, 100